

$$\theta_p = \sum_i a_i v_i^p$$

This procedure is often referred to as 'corrector ironing' [Ziemann].

To isolate to scalar coefficients a_i , use SVD matrix mechanics as before:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_p = (\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{V}^T) \left(\sum_i a_i v_i^p \right)$$

$$(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{U}^T) \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_i a_i v_i^p \quad (\text{matrix inverse})$$

$$\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} - & \mathbf{u}_1 & - \\ & \dots & \\ - & \mathbf{u}_n & - \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_i a_i v_i^p \quad (\text{expand U})$$

$$\mathbf{V} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & \mathbf{w}_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ | \\ \mathbf{u}_n \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i a_i v_i^p \quad (\text{multiply x, expand W})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^{-1} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ | \\ \mathbf{w}_n^{-1} \mathbf{u}_n \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i a_i v_i^p \quad (\text{expand V})$$

$$a_i = \frac{\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}}{w_i} \quad (\text{equate coefficients of V})$$

In physical terms, the measured orbit is projected into the non-null eigenvectors (row-space) and scaled by the corresponding singular value to find the coefficients a_i . These coefficients are used to generate the corrector vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}_p = \sum_i a_i v_i^p$. The new corrector set contains no null-vector components.

An example of where this technique was used on SPEAR is the case where the position of 10 photon beams positions were held constant while the strength of approximately 30 corrector magnets were reduced (10 constraints, 30 variables).

Note - to see that the solution θ_p is the minimum-square corrector strength set, compute the modulus of the corrector vector:

$$(\boldsymbol{\theta}_p + \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}_p + \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) = \boldsymbol{\theta}_p^2 + 2\boldsymbol{\theta}_p \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}_n + \boldsymbol{\theta}_n^2$$

Since the θ_n component is rejected, the expression is minimized.